



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,249	08/18/2003	Eugene Feng	2102397-992780	4655
26379	7590	06/22/2005	EXAMINER	
DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US, LLP 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE E. PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2248			STIGLIC, RYAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2112

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/643,249

Applicant(s)

FENG ET AL.

Examiner

Ryan M. Stiglic

Art Unit

2112

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are pending and have been examined.
2. Claims 1-10 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US006851014B2) in view of Goetting (US 4783606).

All references below are made with respect to Chang except if otherwise noted.

For claim 1:

Chang teaches:

A memory device (Fig. 1, 108) for communicating with an integrated circuit (Fig. 1, 106) via a communication bus (Fig. 1, 120), said device comprising:

- an interface circuit for receiving communication signals from the communication bus, and for decoding the communication signals, and for generating a plurality of protocol signals and for outputting one of the plurality of protocol signals in response to a select signal (Fig. 9, items 204 and 210 [Operation Interface and Protocol Detection CKT])

Art Unit: 2112

make up the interface circuit of applicant's invention; col. 8, ll. 25-49 teaches an embodiment of Chang that operates similar to the memory device of figure 2 except that the memory device of figure 9 uses a multiplexer instead of two enable signals, therefore references will be made to the memory device of figure 2 to explain the workings of devices not explicitly stated for the memory device of figure 9; col. 5, line 12 – col. 6, line 2) ;

- a non-volatile memory (Fig. 2, 202); and
- a controller for controlling the non-volatile memory; said controller responsive to said one protocol signal (Fig. 1, 106; col. 3, ll. 21-37).

With regards to a user selectable non-volatile memory for storing user selected protocol and for generating the select signal, corresponding to the user selected protocol the Examiner respectfully submits a memory is inherently present for storing the **SEL** signal of figure 9. Support for this assertion can be found in col. 7, ll. 43-46 (or ll. 56-58) and figure 7. The cited passage reads, "Thereafter, LPC/FWH protocol circuit 208/206 handles read and write operations for memory device in a well-known manner using the LPC/FWH communication protocol." By using *thereafter* it is implied the LPC or FWH protocol circuit will be enabled for the remainder of operation. This therefore implies a memory is used to supply the enable (or select) signal because if a memory was not used neither enable signals would be active. For this the Examiner directs the applicant's attention to figure 8 of Chang. Figure 8 teaches a programming logic circuit for receiving the user selected protocol and outputting selection signals to be an inherent memory which then sends the signals to the respective protocol circuits

Art Unit: 2112

for enabling. If a memory was not present the signals indicative of an enabled protocol would activate/deactivate according to the value of the data or addresses that are inputs to the logic gates of figure 8 (NOR, inverter, & AND gates). Since none of the logic gates of figure 8 have memory the values at the inputs of the gates immediately affects the output. As such the enable signals would constantly toggle with values on the bus lines, thus enabling/disabling the protocol circuits. As applicant can clearly see, there must be an inherent memory to hold the appropriate enable signals for use with memory array 202 of figure 2. While a memory device of some type is inherently present, the disclosure of Chang does not expressly teach or suggest the use of a non-volatile memory for signaling an enable/selection signal.

Goetting teaches programmable logic devices that are typically created from an array of fuses (Goetting; col. 1, line 10). The programming logic device (PLD) is therefore non-volatile in that once a fuse is blown the PLD will maintain it's internal wiring state, thus providing a constant output (Goetting; col. 1, ll. 10-20). Often, PLDs are used in conjunction with output cells such as multiplexers like that of Chang figure 9.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to implement the inherent memory of as a typical non-volatile PLD array of fuses such that the generated select signal would maintain its value in the event of power disruption thus providing reliable failure protection.

For claim 2:

Art Unit: 2112

The memory device of claim 1 wherein the interface circuit comprises:

- a decoding circuit for receiving the communication signals and for decoding the communication signals to generate a plurality of protocol signals (Fig. 2 and 9, 210; col. 5 line 44 – col. 6, line 2);
- a multiplexer for receiving the plurality of protocol signals and for generating one of the plurality of protocol signals in response to a select signal (Fig. 9, 902; col. 8, ll. 25-49).

For claim 3:

The memory device of claim 2 wherein the plurality of protocol signals represent protocol for LPC communication, FWH communication (various locations of the specification of Chang including col. 4, ll. 5-19).

For claim 4:

The memory device of claim 1 wherein the user selectable non-volatile memory comprises a non-volatile fuse (Goetting; col. 1, ll. 10-20).

For claim 5:

The memory device of claim 4 further comprising: a programming logic circuit for receiving the user selected protocol to program the non-volatile fuse (Fig. 8; col. 7, line 59 – col. 8, line 17).

For claim 6:

The memory device of claim 5 further comprising:

Art Unit: 2112

- said non-volatile fuse has an output (Goetting; col. 1, ll. 10-20);
- a fuse sense circuit for receiving the output and for generating a fuse control signal (a fuse sense circuit is an inherent feature of the PLD of Goetting. The sense circuit is needed to drive the logic value stored in the PLD. Support for this assertion is evidenced by Goetting et al. (5,039,885) (hereinafter Goetting2) Fig. 2, 31 [col. 3, ll. 47-50] which is incorporated by reference into the Goetting patent used above);
- a latch for receiving the fuse control circuit and for generating the select signal (Goetting incorporates by reference Goetting2, thus providing the entirety of the disclosure of Goetting2 into the teaches of Goetting. As such Goetting2 teaches a PLD circuit can be enhanced by providing a latch to hold the output [col. 2, ll. 23-24].).

For claim 8:

A memory device for communicating with an integrated circuit via a communication bus, said device comprising:

- an interface circuit for receiving communication signals from the communication bus, and for decoding the communication signals, and for generating a plurality of protocol signals, and for outputting one of the plurality of protocol signals in response to a select signal (Fig. 9, items 204 and 210 [Operation Interface and Protocol Detection CKT] make up the interface circuit of applicant's invention; col. 8, ll. 25-49 teaches an embodiment of Chang that operates similar to the memory device of figure 2 except that the memory device of figure 9 uses a multiplexer instead of two enable signals, therefore references will be made to the memory device of figure 2 to explain the workings of

Art Unit: 2112

devices not explicitly stated for the memory device of figure 9; col. 5, line 12 – col. 6, line 2);

- a non-volatile fuse for generating the select signal (As stated above, Chang implies the use of a memory for holding the value of the select signal of the multiplexer of figure 9 but does not expressly suggest the use of a non-volatile fuse memory. Goetting teaches programmable logic devices that are typically created from an array of fuses (Goetting; col. 1, line 10). The programming logic device (PLD) is therefore non-volatile in that once a fuse is blown the PLD will maintain it's internal wiring state, thus providing a constant output (Goetting; col. 1, ll. 10-20). Often, PLDs are used in conjunction with output cells such as multiplexers like that of Chang figure 9.);
- a non-volatile memory (Fig. 2, 202);
- a controller for controlling the non-volatile memory; said controller responsive to said one protocol signal (Fig. 1, 106; col. 3, ll. 21-37); and
- a sensing circuit for detecting the communication signals and for programming the non-volatile fuse (a fuse sense circuit is an inherent feature of the PLD of Goetting. The sense circuit is needed to drive the logic value stored in the PLD. Support for this assertion is evidenced by Goetting et al. (5,039,885) (hereinafter Goetting2) Fig. 2, 31 [col. 3, ll. 47-50] which is incorporated by reference into the Goetting patent used above).

For claim 9:

The memory device of claim 8 wherein the interface circuit comprises:

Art Unit: 2112

- a decoding circuit for receiving the communication signals and for decoding the communication signals to generate a plurality of protocol signals (Fig. 2 and 9, 210; col. 5 line 44 – col. 6, line 2);
- a multiplexer for receiving the plurality of protocol signals and for generating one of the plurality of protocol signals in response to a select signal (Fig. 9, 902; col. 8, ll. 25-49).

For claim 10:

The memory device of claim 9 wherein the plurality of protocol signals represent protocol for LPC communication, FWI communication (various locations of the specification of Chang including col. 4, ll. 5-19).

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US006851014B2) in view of Goetting (US 4783606) as applied to claim 6 above and further in view of Joo (US005596538A).

As discussed above, Chang in view of Goetting teach of a memory device with a common interface (Chang; Fig. 2 and 9, 204) with a protocol detection circuit (Chang; Fig. 2 and 9, 210) that selects a protocol based on decoded signals and applies the decoded signals as select signal (Chang; Fig. 9, SEL) which is outputted from a non-volatile fuse memory (Goetting; col. 1, ll. 10-20) to a multiplexer (Chang; Fig. 9, 902) as discussed above. Chang nor Goetting however teach a mode-selecting circuit responsive to a test signal for testing or operating the memory device.

Art Unit: 2112

Joo teaches a mode selecting circuit (Fig. 5, 30) that is responsive to a test signal (Fig. 5, 20) for testing the memory device (col. 3, ll. 7-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to implement the mode selecting circuit of Joo into the memory device of Chang in view of Goetting such that based on accurate information regarding the semiconductor memory device as obtained by the vendor test, design margins and an access time can be easily varied according to each semiconductor memory device, thereby improving a reliability and a performance.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Stafford discloses selecting an interface from a plurality of interfaces to a memory device.
- Bierig, Abe et al., and Rippey disclose general information regarding non-volatile fuse memories.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan M. Stiglic whose telephone number is 571.272.3641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:00-3:30).

Art Unit: 2112

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571.272.3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



RMS

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER